

Carver County Board of Commissioners February 21, 2012 Regular Session County Board Room Carver County Government Center Human Services Building Chaska, Minnesota

PAGE

4:00 p.m.	1.	 a) CONVENE b) Pledge of allegiance c) Public comments (limited to five minutes) d) Introduction of New Employees
	2.	Agenda review and adoption
	3.	Approve minutes of February 7, 2012, Regular Session 1-3
	4.	Community Announcements
4:00 p.m.	5.	CONSENT AGENDA
		Connections: Develop strong public partnerships and connect people to services and information 5.1 Charitable gambling application for exempt permit – Carver County Ducks Unlimited Chapter 128
4:05 p.m.	6.	CONNECTIONS: Develop strong public partnerships and connect people to services and information 6.1 Joint powers agreement between Carver County and Cities of Carver and Victoria for the purchase and operation of two road sweepers
4:15 p.m.	7.	GROWTH: Manage the challenges and opportunities resulting from growth and development 7.1 Negative declaration Resolution on the need for an EIS on the CSAH 11 (SP 10-611-06) roadway project

4:30 p.m.	8.	COMMUNITIES: Create and maintain safe, healthy and livable communities 8.1 Amendment to 2012 Legislative Platform – Court security fee 15-17
4:50 p.m.		ADJOURN REGULAR SESSION
4:50 p.m.		BOARD REPORTS 1. Chair

Board Members
 Administrator
 Adjourn

David Hemze County Administrator

UPCOMING MEETINGS

 February 28, 2012
 9:00 a.m. Board Meeting

 March 6, 2012
 9:00 a.m. Board Meeting

 March 13, 2012
 9:00 a.m. Work Session

 March 20, 2012
 4:00 p.m. Board Meeting

A Regular Session of the Carver County Board of Commissioners was held in the County Government Center, Chaska, on February 7, 2012. Chair James Ische convened the session at 9:14 a.m.

Members present: James Ische, Chair, Gayle Degler, Vice Chair, Tim Lynch, Randy Maluchnik and Tom Workman.

Members absent: None.

Under public comments, Tom Redman, Chaska, stated he was representing the Bountiful Baskets Food Shelf that has been serving the community for 14 years. He stated they served 1,300 individuals the month of January and noted the food shelf is run by all volunteers. He explained they would be moving to their new permanent site on Bavaria Road shortly and invited the public to assist with the move on February 18th.

Degler moved, Lynch seconded, to approve the agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

Workman moved, Maluchnik seconded, to approve the minutes of the January 24, 2012, Regular Session. Motion carried unanimously.

Community announcements were made by the Board.

Maluchnik moved, Degler seconded, to approve the following consent agenda items:

Accepted FEMA grant funds in the amount of \$92,224.75 via the Metro Region Homeland Security and Emergency Management Committee with Washington County as its fiscal agent to fund the Carver County ARMER/Fiber Optic Connection project and related budget amendment.

Approved host County contract with MR Welty Homes.

Resolution #10-12, Appointing Tom Workman to the Metro Alliance for Healthy Families Joint Powers Board.

Resolution #11-12, 2012 Seasonal Road Restrictions.

Resolution #12-12, In Support of Utilizing Local Road Improvement Program Funding for Carver County Road Improvements.

Authorized the Sheriff's Office acceptance of \$100 donation.

Resolution #13-12, MnDOT Cooperative Construction Agreement No. 00135 for Culvert Lining on TH 41 and related Public Works budget amendment.

Adopted the Findings of Fact and Order PZ20110052 for the issuance of Conditional Use Permit #PZ2011052, M&P-P, LLC/Carver County Public Works.

Adopted the Findings of Fact and Order #PZ20110051 for the issuance of Interim Use Permit, Thomas and Penny Rice.

Approved the following abatements:

08.0060210	Troy Galles
25.8890050	Grace Cramond
40.1120220	Andrew Meeks
30.3250140	Chad Palm
30.9510032	Roberto Medina
09.9510001	James Schwalbe
30.0044940	West Suburban Housing Ptrshp.

Community Social Services' actions.

Approved payment of the following Commissioners' warrants:

INSERT

Motion carried unanimously.

Gary Bork, Community Social Services, requested approval to hire temporary staff to implement electronic medical records. He indicated they would transfer funds within the department to fund this. He pointed out the requirement to have all medical records electronic by 2014 and they have purchased the system to allow them to do this. Bork stated they have decreased staff over the last two budget cycles and while they are able to handle the day to day operations, they do not have staff to handle this project.

Maluchnik moved, Degler seconded, to authorize the Social Services Division to hire or contract for temporary staff to scan behavioral health medical records. Motion carried unanimously.

Bill Weckman, Public Works, requested the Board approve an agreement for right of way negotiations for the CSAH 11 roadway project. In order to do the project, he stated they needed to acquire property and easement rights from 14 different property owners. He indicated acquisition of these properties is complicated, would be a very time consuming process and they have elected to negotiate a contract with Dan Wilson to do the negotiations.

Workman clarified he would be abstaining as he had relatives living along the corridor.

Degler moved, Lynch seconded, to authorize the Chair and Administrator to sign the professional services agreement with Wilson Development Services contingent on approval of the County Attorney and Risk Management. Degler, Ische, Lynch, Maluchnik voted aye. Workman abstained. Motion carried.

Weckman requested the Board adopt an eminent domain Resolution for construction of CSAH 11

from CSAH 61 to CSAH 10. He stated offers for right of way have been sent out and they are trying to get the project on track to start construction this spring. He indicated they needed to be assured access to the properties and they were asking for approval to have the County Attorney to begin putting together the papers for acquisition of the property. Weckman stressed they will continue to negotiate with the property owners and have as many negotiated settlements as they can. He clarified the project included three million in federal funds and reviewed the timelines they must follow.

Workman clarified he would again be abstaining.

Maluchnik offered the following Resolution, seconded by Degler:

Resolution # 14-12 Eminent Domain – SP 10-611-06

On vote taken, Degler, Ische, Lynch, Maluchnik voted aye. Workman abstained.

Martin Walsh, Parks, requested approval to authorize bids for phase II of the Dakota Rail Regional Trail. He stated phase 1 was completed last year and phase II was 5 ½ miles extending from Mayer further to the West.

He pointed out federal funding that was received and the projected costs of the project. He reviewed possible funding sources to cover the cost above the identified funding and ways they would be looking to bring the project costs down. He pointed out the favorable bid climate to move the project forward. Walsh noted the bids would be brought back to the Board and the Board could chose to reject them.

Degler moved, Lynch seconded, to authorize Phase II of the Dakota Trail Regional Trail to be advertised for bid. Motion carried unanimously.

Degler moved, Maluchnik seconded, to go into closed session at 9:40 a.m. according to Minnesota Statutes Section 13D.05 in order to discuss confidential appraisal data for property located at 809 Old Beach Lane. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board adjourned the closed and Regular Session at 10:38 a.m.

David Hemze County Administrator

(These proceedings contain summaries of resolutions. The full text of the resolutions are available for public inspection in the office of the county administrator.)

RBA 2011- 991



Accords House							
	Agenda Item:						
Charitable Gambling Application for Exempt Permit - Carver County Ducks Unlimited Chapter 128							
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Pro	rvices	Meeting Dat	e: 2/21/2012				
Contact: Lisa Dreier	Title: Taxpayer Service	xpayer Services Clerk Item Type:		<u>Consent</u>			
Amount of Time Requested:	ninutes		Attachments	:: O Yes O No			
Presenter:	Title:		Attachments	s. Tes INO			
Strategic Initiative:							
Connections: Develop strong public p	artnerships and connect peop	<u>le to services an</u>	d information	<u>1.</u>			
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:							
A Charitable Gambling application for They plan to hold a raffle on Friday, A MN.	•			•			
ACTION REQUESTED:							
Carver County Ducks Unlimited Chapt Event Center located at 8155 Paradisc		nbling application	on to hold a r	affle at Lake Waconia			
FISCAL IMPACT: None		FUNDING					
If "Other", specify:		County Dolla	rs =				
FTE IMPACT: None		Total		\$0.00			
Related Financial/FTE Comments:							
Office use only:							

4



Agenda Item: Charitable Gambling Application from Ridgeview Foundation					
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Prop	Primary Originating Division/Dept: Property Records & Taxpayer Services Meeting Date: 2/21/2012				
Contact: Lisa Dreier Title: Taxpayer Services Clerk		Clerk	Type: Consent		
Amount of Time Requested: mir	nutes	Atta	chments: O Yes O No		
Presenter:	Title:	Atta	illients. © les © No		
Strategic Initiative:					
Connections: Develop strong public part	tnerships and connect people	to services and info	<u>rmation.</u>		
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:					
A Charitable Gambling Application for E on Monday, June 25, 2012 at Island View	•	•	* *		
ACTION REQUESTED:					
Ridgeview Foundation seeks approval of 7795 Laketown Parkway, Waconia, MN	0	ld a raffle at Island	View Country Club located at		
FISCAL IMPACT: None		FUNDING			
If "Other", specify:		County Dollars =			
FTE IMPACT: None To		Total	\$0.00		
Related Financial/FTE Comments:					
Office use only: RBA 2011- 996					

5



Agenda Item: Microsoft Enterprise Agreement - Countywide Microsoft Licensing Agreement					
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Administrative Services - IT			Date: 2/21/2012		
Contact: Rhonda Lynch	Title: 11-333 - Microsoft	Agreement Item Type	: <u>Consent</u>		
Amount of Time Requested: m	inutes	Attachme	nts: O Yes O No		
Presenter:	Title:	Attachine	ilis. • res • No		
Strategic Initiative:					
Connections: Develop strong public pa	artnerships and connect people	to services and informat	ion.		
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:					
Renewal of Microsoft Enterprise Licen \$1,344,036.00 (annual cost is \$224,00 and data center software and data center includes all standard annual software In an attempt to work collaboratively	 This renewal Microsoft Ententer technology software utility license requirements, upgrades 	erprise Licensing agreem tool licensing. The Ente , patches, and, fixes.	ent includes both desktop rprise License Agreement		
Representative to discuss a join purch purchases. However, if that situation prorated to reflect any savings.	ase option. At this time Micros	oft does not offer reduc	ed pricing for joint		
The Microsoft Enterprise License Agre Management. Detailed Licenses are:	· ·	oproved by the County A	ttorney Office and Risk		
Office Applications Licenses		625			
Windows Client Licenses		625			
Servers Licenses		130			
CAL Licenses (client licenses)		1,293			
The annual financial obligation is part	of Information Technology recu	ırring annual operations	budget.		
ACTION REQUESTED:					
Motion to have Board Chair sign the 6 year Microsoft Software Enterprise License Agreement in the amount of \$1,344,036.00 which covers the years 01/2012 through 12/2017.					
FISCAL IMPACT: Included in current b	udget	FUNDING			
If "Other", specify:		County Dollars =	\$1,344,036.00		
FTE IMPACT: None		Total	\$1,344,036.00		
Deleted Singapiel/STS Comm.					
Related Financial/FTE Comments:	Aciated Financial/FTE Comments:				
Office use only:					

RBA 2011- 986

RBA 2011- 1...



Agenda Item: Donations					
Primary Originating Division/Dept: She	riff		Meeting Date:	2/21/2012	
Contact: Leslie Michel	Title:		Item Type: Cor	<u>nsent</u>	
Amount of Time Requested: m	inutes		Attachments:	C Yes • No	
Presenter:	Title:		Attaciiiieiits.	e res e no	
Strategic Initiative: <u>Connections: Develop strong public pa</u>	rtnerships and connect people	to services an	d information.		
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:					
Donation of \$100.00 from RMG refer a Donation of \$35.00 from Kirk and Tere			ment.		
ACTION REQUESTED:					
Approval of \$100.00 donation to the S Approval of \$35.00 donation to the Sh		riff's discretio	ı.		
FISCAL IMPACT: Other		FUNDING			
If "Other", specify:	If "Other", specify: County Dolla		·s =		
Donation					
FTE IMPACT: None		Total			\$0.00
Related Financial/FTE Comments:					
Donation total of \$135.00 to 02-201-0	00-0000-5756				
Office use only:					

7



Agenda Item: Professional Service Agreement - B	ulwark Coat	ings - Jail Fl	ooring		
Primary Originating Division/Dept:	dministrative	e Services - Fa	cilities		Meeting Date: 2/21/2012
Contact: Kevin Maas	Title	e: Facilities	Services Manager		Item Type: Consent
Amount of Time Requested:	minutes				Attachments: Yes No
Presenter:	Title:				Attachments: Yes • No
Strategic Initiative:					
Growth: Manage the challenges and oppo	rtunities resul	ting from grov	vth and development		
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION: The majority of the Jail day rooms & has experienced moisture issues; ma Federal and State Inspectors have in	ainly due to	variable gro	und water elevations.		
·	olaced with	sheet vinyl p	products including the	1300	Unit (Women's) unit. However the
grade levels. Polyurea is used as a r	Staff is recor new thin coa wed installat	nmending a at liner in pic tions and ob	full chip; polyurea flok up truck beds. It is tained a custom samp	or cov	vering with a hydro-stop primer for all
Quotes are as follows:		Per Sq.Ft.			
 Dynamic Concrete Resurfacing Diversified Coatings Bulwork Coatings 	\$88,117 \$95,650 \$83,121	\$8.06 \$8.74 \$7.60			
Consistent with the MN Statute governing contracts, staff has negotiated a contract amount \$59,105. This reduced scope from the quotes eliminates the upper level flooring. Upper level floors, not requiring water proofing underlayment, are expected to be recommended through the Administrative contract process for early fall. The recommended contract amount will allow the entire ground floor of the Jail requiring new flooring to be completed. Funds are recommended through a budget amendment and include the balance of 2011 rollover funds from the Facilities Budget.					
This project is a priority for the Sher	iff's Departn	nent and is e	expected to start in M	larch,	pending approval.
A small amount of contingency (\$3,3	195.00) is re	quired for no	ew electrical outlets t	hat w	ill accommodate machinery and
ACTION REQUESTED: Move approval of the Professional S bid of \$59,105 and \$3,395.00 in con			_		al project budget of \$62,500 (includes
FISCAL IMPACT: Budget amendmen If "Other", specify:	it request fo	orm	FUNDING		

	County Dollars =	\$62,500.00
FTE IMPACT: None		
	Total	\$62,500.00
Related Financial/FTE Comments:		
Budget Amendment		

Office use only: RBA 2012-1...



Agenda Item: Approval of 2012 Board of Water & Soil Resources (BWSR) Natural Resource Block Grant (NRBG)				
Public Primary Originating Division/Dept: Planni	Health & Environment - ng/Water	Meeting Date: 2/21/2012		
Contact: paul moline	Title: pwm manager	Item Type: <u>Consent</u>		
Amount of Time Requested: minu Presenter:	Attachments: O Yes O No			
Presenter: Title: Strategic Initiative: Growth: Manage the challenges and opportunities resulting from growth and development				

BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:

Each year the County receives a block grant from BWSR for the following programs:

Water Plan Implementation (LWP): grant amount = \$8,904;

required match = \$27,879

Wetland Conservation Act: grant amount = \$31,599 (\$10,000 to the SWCD);

required match = \$31,599

Shoreland Management: grant amount = \$ 2,615;

required match = \$2,615

Feedlot Management: grant amount = \$23,509;

required match = \$16.456

Subsurface Sewage Treatment

Systems (SSTS) Management: grant amount = \$18,941;

required match = \$0.00

The match is provided by the staff time (SWCD included) expended in the normal operation of the program areas and by Carver County WMO watershed expenditures. The LWP & Shoreland funds are used to support the monitoring program and small conservation projects such as tile inlets, streambank restoration and lakescaping. The other funds are used to offset program costs of operating the Wetland Conservation Act (WCA), Feedlot and SSTS programs.

The grant total is \$84,758 and it is effective Sep 1, 2011 or upon signature through June 30,2013.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to approve the 2012 BWSR Natural Resource Block Grant, authorizing the Board Chair to sign the grant agreement, accepting \$84,758 in grant funds.

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in current budget	FUNDING			
If "Other", specify:	County Dollars =	\$78,549.00		
	State BWSR funds	\$84,758.00		

FTE IMPACT: None Total \$163,307.00

Related Financial/FTE Comments:

Required match is provided by the staff time expended in the normal operation of the program areas and by Carver County WMO expenditures.

Office use only: RBA 2011- NaN



_	Carver County and Cities of Carver and Victoria f	or the purchase and operation of two	
road sweepers.			
	Dublic Works Highway Maintonanco	Meeting	
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Public Works - Highway Maintenance		Date: 2/21/2012	
		Item Type:	
Contact: Michael Legg	Title: Highway Maintenance Superi	Regular Session	
Amount of Time Requested:	minutes	Attachments:	
Presenter: Lyndon Robjent	Title: Public Works Director	Attachments: Ves Vo	
Strategic Initiative:			
Connections: Develop strong public parts	perships and connect people to services and information		

BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:

Currently Carver County does not own a road sweeper. The services are contracted out to private contractors which is done county wide annually. The service is also required after seal coating operations. The City of Carver has a similar situation. In recent years the City of Carver either contracted sweeping out to a private vendor or rented the City of Victoria's road broom.

The City of Victoria currently owns a road sweeper that is old and prone to mechanical breakdowns. The current need for this equipment is high for all three agencies in order to sweep to remove debris and sand from the roadway to minimize contamination of storm sewers and waterways. The current Best Management Practice is to mechanically sweep the road system quarterly and the requirements to clean the road system is becoming a higher priority for all agencies.

The cost of the road sweepers will be split: 50% county, 25% Victoria, 25% Carver. The equipment will be stationed at Public Works HQ. Each agency will pay for their share of routine maintenance and 100% of the required maintenance and repairs when the units are under their jurisdiction and operation.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Subject to review and approval by the County Attorney's Office and Risk Management, approve the Joint Powers Agreement Between Carver County, City of Carver and City of Victoria for the cooperative purchase and operation of two road sweepers.

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in current budget	FUNDING	
If "Other", specify:	County Dollars =	\$186,223.00
	City of carver	\$93,056.00
FTE IMPACT: None	City of Victoria	\$93,056.00
	Total	\$372,335.00

Related Financial/FTE Comments:

The joint purchase allows the county to get 2 road sweepers for the price of one. This equates to a savings of approximately \$186,223 which will allow other equipment to replaced in a more timely manner. The cities will also realize considerable savings.

Office use only:

RBA 2012- 929



Agenda Item:			
Negative Declaration Resolution on the Need for an	EIS on the CSAH 11 (SP 10-61	1-06) Roadway Project	
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Public Works - Eng	ineering	Meeting Date: 2/21/2012	
Contact: Scott A. Smith Title: Pro	oject Engineer	Item Type: Regular Session	<u>1</u>
Amount of Time Requested: minutes		Attachments: • Yes •	No
Presenter: Lyndon Robjent Title: Pub	lic Works Director / Count	Attacimients. Tes	INO
Strategic Initiative:			
Growth: Manage the challenges and opportunities re	sulting from growth and deve	lopment.	
BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:			
The CSAH 11 roadway construction project from CSAI done and a determination made as to the need to ha (EIS). The process to help determine the need for a pwas done by SRF for this project on behalf of the countries comments period was closed on Monday, January comments were from the MN Dept. of Ag, Met Countries addressed, but none of the comments and concerns with an EIS. The above documents along with this resolution will of No Significant Impact (FONSI). This FONSI is a necession.	we a public hearing and/or to public hearing includes a 30 dainty. 30, 2012 from which we reced, MPCA, and Mn/DOT. All the ndicate the need for a public be sent to state and federal endicate the state and federal endicate.	do an Environmental Impact by comment period on the En- eived four comment letters. The comments and concerns to the aring or require us to pro- entities so that we can receive	t Statement A that These will be ceed e a Finding
	·	, ,	
ACTION REQUESTED:	a Nogativo Declaration on the	need for an EIC on the CCAI	111 /CD 10
Approve and sign the attached Resolution indicating 611-06) Roadway Project	a Negative Declaration on the	need for all EIS off the CSAF	1 11 (SP 10-
FISCAL IMPACT: Included in current budget	FUNDING		
If "Other", specify:	County Dolla	rs =	\$0.00
	Total		\$0.00
FTE IMPACT: None			30.00
Related Financial/FTE Comments:			
Office use only:			

RBA 2011- 1...

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CARVER COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Date:	Resolution No:	
Motion by Commissioner:	Seconded by Commissioner:	
FOR THE COUN	RESOLUTION ON ON THE NEED FOR AN ENVIRONME I'Y STATE AID HIGHWAY (CSAH) 11 REC ROVAL OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF REC	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT
WHEREAS, Under Minnesota Rules, Carve	er County is the Responsible Governmental Unit (RG)	U) for the CSAH 11 Reconstruction Project; and
WHEREAS, Minnesota Rule 4410.4300 Su	bp. 22 requires an Environmental Assessment Worksh	heet (EAW) be prepared for the project; and
	of the National Environmental Policy Act and fulfills	EAW) has been prepared to fulfill the requirements of the requirements of 42 U.S.C. 4332 and M.S. 116D,
WHEREAS, based on the information conta Finding of Facts and Conclusions include:	nined in the CSAH 11 EA/EAW and public and agence	y comments received, the
The type and extent of environme the potential for significant enviro		road construction projects and the project does not have
	related or future projects exist that would pose signification by ongoing regulatory authorities.	icant environmental effects. The anticipated
The extent of environmental effect with similar environmental effect;		rience with other similar highway improvement projects
WHEREAS, no regulatory reviewing agenc	ies indicate a need for an EIS; and	
WHEREAS, based on criteria in Minnesota	Rule 4410.1700 the project does not have significant	environmental effects.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, need for an EIS.	that the EA for the CSAH 11 Reconstruction Project	is adequate and a Negative Declaration is made on the
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ca	arver County Board of Commissioners approves the di	istribution of decision.
YES	ABSENT	NO
STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF CARVER		
have compared the foregoing copy of this	s resolution with the original minutes of the proceed he day of, 201	of Carver, State of Minnesota, do hereby certify that I edings of the Board of County Commissioners, Carver 12, now on file in the Administration office, and have
Dated this day of	2012.	

Dave Hemze



Agenda Item: Amendment to 2012 Legislative Platform - Court Security Fee		
Primary Originating Division/Dept: Admini	stration (County)	Meeting Date: 2/21/2012
Contact: David Hemze	Title: County Administrator	Item Type: Regular Session
Amount of Time Requested: 20 minutes Attachments: • Yes • No		
Presenter: David Hemze	Title: County Administrator	Attachments: Seres Sino
Strategic Initiative:		
Communities: Create and maintain safe, healthy, and livable communities		

BACKGROUND/JUSTIFICATION:

While Carver County has made a variety of security improvements to its facilities over the past several years, there are a number of significant changes that are still required. An internal task force is in the process of developing security recommendations and an architect has been hired to assist in this analysis.

A significant concern related to making any security improvements is the lack of funding allocated toward either capital upgrades or ongoing operational expenses. This agenda item requests the Board support a legislative change to add a Court Security fee as a revenue source.

Related legislation currently proposed in the house is attached. That specific legislation proposes a fee not-to-exceed \$15 is added in criminal and civil manners at the discretion of the County Board. The estimated annual revenue from this fee is \$123,000.

Court fines and fees are divided between a number of jurisdictions and amounts vary depending upon the type of crime. The following is an example of how a current fine is calculated for a petty misdemeanor moving violation.

Base fine = \$40Surcharge = \$75Law library fee = \$15Total fine = \$130

The surcharge goes to the State of Minnesota's general fund. The law library fee goes to Carver County and is dedicated to cover expenses related to the law library. Base fines are split between jurisdictions depending upon the charging entity as follows.

City charge: 2/3 to city and 1/3 to State

State highway patrol charge: 2/3 to State highway patrol and 1/3 to State

County charge in a non-contracting township: 100% to State

County charge in a contract township: 2/3 to contract township and 1/3 to State

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to amend Carver County's legislative priorities to support legislation which provides County Board's the discretion of to adding a Court Security Fee to criminal and civil cases.

It "Other", specify:	FISCAL IMPACT: Other If "Other", specify:	FUNDING	
County Dollars =		County Dollars	=

See comment below.		
FTE IMPACT: None	Total	\$0.00
Related Financial/FTE Comments:		
A \$15 fee would generate approximate security related capital and operationa	 	

Office use only: RBA 2011- NaN This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

1.1

1.2

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A bill for an act

EIGHTY-SEVENTH SESSION

H. F. No. 2000

01/30/2012 Authored by Johnson and Slocum The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Judiciary Policy and Finance

fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matter including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation cour in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	relating to courts; authorizing county boards to set and impose court security fee in civil and criminal matters; allotting fee for court security equipment and personnel; amending Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 357.021, by adding a subdivision.
Subd. 8. Court security fees. (a) The county board may establish a court security fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matter including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation cour in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.6	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
Subd. 8. Court security fees. (a) The county board may establish a court security fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matter including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation cour in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of		
Subd. 8. Court security fees. (a) The county board may establish a court security fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matter including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation cour in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.7	Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2010, section 357.021, is amended by adding a
fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matter including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation cour in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.8	subdivision to read:
including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the country. The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation country in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.9	Subd. 8. Court security fees. (a) The county board may establish a court security
The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation court in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.10	fee and set the amount, not to exceed \$15, to be collected for civil and criminal matters,
security fees shall be published in the State Register. (b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation court in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.11	including petty misdemeanor cases, in the district and conciliation courts of the county.
(b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation court in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.12	The fee shall be set on July 1 each year and remain in effect until changed. All court
collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation couring the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.13	security fees shall be published in the State Register.
in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from: (1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.14	(b) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court administrator shall
(1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceed at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.15	collect in each civil suit, action, or proceeding filed in the district and conciliation courts,
at the time of the filing of the first paper; and (2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.16	in the manner in which other fees are collected, a court security fee from:
(2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.17	(1) the plaintiff, petitioner, or other person instituting the suit, action, or proceeding
separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.18	at the time of the filing of the first paper; and
the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.19	(2) each defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party who appears, either
appearance is entered in the case. (c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.20	separately or jointly, to be collected at the time of the filing of the first paper by
(c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.21	the defendant, respondent, intervenor, or other party, or at the time when the party's
the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of	1.22	appearance is entered in the case.
	1.23	(c) In each county that approves a court security fee, the court shall impose and
any felony, gross misdemeanor, misdemeanor, or petty misdemeanor offense. When a	1.24	the court administrator shall collect a court security fee on every person convicted of
	1.25	any felony, gross misdemeanor, misdemeanor, or petty misdemeanor offense. When a

2.1	defendant is convicted of more than one offense in a case, the court security fee shall be
2.2	imposed only once in that case. The fee shall be imposed whether or not the person is
2.3	sentenced to imprisonment or the sentence is stayed. The fee shall not be imposed when a
2.4	person is convicted of a petty misdemeanor for which no fine is imposed or in cases in
2.5	which a fine is paid without a court appearance.
2.6	(d) The court security fee is a cost in the action and taxable as such, and is allotted
2.7	for the following security purposes:
2.8	(1) purchase, repair, upgrades, and maintenance of:
2.9	(i) x-ray machines and conveying systems;
2.10	(ii) handheld or walk-through metal detectors;
2.11	(iii) identification cards and systems;
2.12	(iv) electronic locking and surveillance equipment;
2.13	(v) computer systems and hardware;
2.14	(vi) security signage;
2.15	(vii) confiscated weapon inventory and tracking systems;
2.16	(viii) bullet-proof glass and bullet-resistant soft body armor;
2.17	(ix) locks, chains, alarms, or similar security devices; and
2.18	(x) any other security equipment approved by the county board; and
2.19	(2) personnel and training expenses related to court security.
2.20	(e) The court security fee shall be paid to the county treasurer or county auditor who
2.21	shall issue a receipt. The county treasurer or county auditor may disburse these funds at
2.22	the direction of the county board. Funds generated from the fee must not supplant existing
2.23	budgets for bailiffs or court facility operating expenses.
2.24	EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective August 1, 2012, and applies to civil
	ind upplied to civil

actions filed on or after that date and to offenses committed on or after that date.

2.25

Section 1. 2 ₁₈